



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,312	03/22/2001	Susan Bumgardner Cirulli	END9 2000 0176 US1	4659

7590 08/06/2003

Shelley M. Beckstrand, P.C.
314 Main Street
Owego, NY 13827

EXAMINER

BACKER, FIRMIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3621

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/815,213

Applicant(s)

ROBINS ET AL.

Examiner

Firmin Backer

Art Unit

3621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 March 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to a letter for patent filed on March 22nd, 2001 in which claims 1-26 are presented for examination. Claims 1-26 are pending in the letter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the “progress of science and the useful arts” and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a method claim to pass the muster, the recited method must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case the inventive concept in claim 1-11 only recites an abstract idea. The recited steps do not apply, involve, use or advance the technological arts since all the steps can

Art Unit: 3621

be performed in the mind of the user or by use of pencil and paper and no specific technology (e.g. computer, processor) is expressly recited in the body of the claims. *In re Toma (CCPA 197 USPQ 852 (1978))*.

Although the recited method produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, it not within the technological arts as explained above, claim 1-11 deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Felkey et al (U.S. PG Pub 2002/0161667).

5. As per claims 1, 7-15 and 21-26, Felkey et al teach a method for providing procurement services to a plurality of customer companies, comprising establishing a user profile for each person authorized by a customer company to access the procurement services including a vendor catalog, a blanket order procurement contract for goods or services, a user profile table, costs

Art Unit: 3621

centers, and accounting general ledger codes with company group specific accounting rules and defaults., associating each the company with a company group of related companies, the user profile specifying for each the user a user company and company group, providing for each procurement resource to be shared among the users a resource profile specifying for each resource those the companies to have access to the procurement resource; and responsive to the user profile and the resource profiles, controlling user access to the procurement resources (*see abstract, figs 1, 2, 4, 5a-6a, paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0037, 0046, 0047, 0064, 0051, 0058, 0088*)

6. As per claims 2 and 16, Felkey et al teach a method further comprising providing the procurement resources in a front-end catalog and requisition server (*see abstract, figs 1, 2, 4, 5a-6a, paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0037, 0046, 0047, 0064, 0051, 0058, 0088*).

7. As per claims 3 and 17, Felkey et al teach a method further comprising receiving a user request from a first client browser to log in to the front-end server; responsive to the request, providing a user interface at the client browser only to procurement resources authorized by the user profile and the resource profiles (*see abstract, figs 1, 2, 4, 5a-6a, paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0037, 0046, 0047, 0064, 0051, 0058, 0088*).

8. As per claims 4 and 18, Felkey et al teach a method further comprising receiving requests from a plurality of users authorized by different company groups to enter a requisition to a vendor with respect to the same catalog or contract resource; and generating separate purchase

Art Unit: 3621

orders to the vendor with respect to requisitions originating with users from each the company group (*see abstract, figs 1, 2, 4, 5a-6a, paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0037, 0046, 0047, 0064, 0051, 0058, 0088*).

9. As per claims 5 and 19, Felkey et al teach a method further comprising the steps of: leveraging procurement buys from a plurality of company groups with respect to the same volume specific contract for goods or services (*see abstract, figs 1, 2, 4, 5a-6a, paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0037, 0046, 0047, 0064, 0051, 0058, 0088*).

10. As per claims 6 and 20, Felkey et al teach a method further comprising allowing the user to apply procurement charges to charge centers only within his company group (*see abstract, figs 1, 2, 4, 5a-6a, paragraphs 0012, 0015, 0037, 0046, 0047, 0064, 0051, 0058, 0088*).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (*see form 892*).


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3621

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.



Firmin Backer
Examiner
Art Unit 3621

August 4, 2003